IN RE: SEARCH WARRANT DATED DECEMBER 14, 2012 SUPERIOR COURT

FOR CONNECTICUT REGISTRATION 872YEO, A 2010 HONDA CIVIC, 4 DOOR SEDAN COLOR BLACK, PARKED IN FRONT OF SANDY HOOK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 12 DICKINSON DRIVE NEWTOWN, CONNECTICUT

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**OF DANBURY** 

POLICE CASE NUMBER: CFS12-00704559

**DECEMBER 27, 2012** 

# **ORDER**

The application of the prosecuting authority, the Danbury State's Attorney, having been considered, it is hereby GRANTED.

The period of time sealing the search warrant application, affidavit and return, and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same is hereby extended for a period of ninety (90) days from the date hereof. The court finds that due to the nature and circumstances of this case and the ongoing investigation, the State's interest in continuing nondisclosure substantially outweighs any right to public disclosure at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ohn F. Blawie

IN RE SEARCH WARRANT, DATED **December 14, 2012** AND

SIGNED BY THE HONORABLE JOHN F. BLAWIE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR-COURT

FOR "Connecticut registration 872YEO, a 2010 Honda Civic, 4-door sedan, color black is parked in front of the Sandy Hook Elementary School, 12 Dickinson Drive in Newtown, CT." Police Case number CFS# 12-00704559

PROSECUTING AUTHORITY'S APPLICATION UNDER C.G.S. §54-33c(b) FOR EXTENSION OF PERIOD SEALING SEARCH WARRANT APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT AND DISPENSING WITH REQUIREMENT OF GIVING COPY OF SAME TO OWNER, OCCUPANT OR PERSON NAMED THEREIN AND FOR SEALING OF SEARCH WARRANT RETURN

Pursuant to C.G.S. §54-33c(b), Practice Book §42-49A(b), Article IV of the Connecticut Constitution as Amended by Article XXIII, C.G.S. §51-276<sup>1</sup> and the law enforcement privilege<sup>2</sup> the undersigned State's Attorney, as the prosecuting authority, hereby makes application for:

- a. A 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the search warrant application and affidavit and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same to the owner, occupant or person named therein for the same time; and
- b. A 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the return of the search warrant.

In support of this application, the undersigned represents, based on:

1. Information provided in the search warrant affidavit; and

See in re The City of New York, 607 F.3rd 923, C.A. 2 (NY)June 9, 2010 (NO. 10-0327-OP); State v.

See beek, 246 Conn. 514, 545-46 (1998).

That the investigation, which was a basis for the issuing of the search warrant, is still continuing and no arrests have been made and none are currently anticipated, but have not been ruled out. Additionally,

- -There is information contained in the search warrant affidavit that is not known to the general public and any potential suspect(s), the disclosure of which would jeopardize the investigation and chances of successfully solving any crime(s) involved; and
- That disclosure and delivery of the affidavit would seriously jeopardize the outcome and success of the investigation by divulging sensitive and confidential information known only to investigators and any suspect(s) and also identify persons cooperating with the investigation thus possibly jeopardizing their personal safety and well-being.

### As such

The search is part of a continuing investigation, which would be adversely affected by the giving of a copy of the affidavit, application and return and the unsealing of the same at this time (54-33c(a)).

December 26, 2012

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Stephen J. Sedensky III

State's Attorney

Office of the State's Attorney

146 White Street

**DATED DECEMBER 14, 2012 AT 7:25 P.M.** 

**SUPERIOR COURT** 

FOR THE RESIDENCE OF

NANCY AND ADAM LANZA

**36 YOGANANDA STREET** 

SANDY HOOK, CONNECTICUT

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**OF DANBURY** 

**POLICE CASE NUMBER:** 

CFS12-00704559

**DECEMBER 27, 2012** 

**ORDER** 

The application of the prosecuting authority, the Danbury State's Attorney, having been considered, it is hereby GRANTED.

The period of time sealing the search warrant application, affidavit and return, and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same is hereby extended for a period of ninety (90) days from the date hereof. The court finds that due to the nature and circumstances of this case and the ongoing investigation, the State's interest in continuing nondisclosure substantially outweighs any right to public disclosure at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J*øli*n F. Blawie

SEARCH WARRANT, DATED December 14, 2012 at 7:25 p.m. AND

SIGNED BY THE HONORABLE JOHN F. BLAWIE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

FOR "The residence of Nancy and Adam Lanza, 36 Yogananda Street in Sandy Hook, CT. The residence is a 2 story colonial style structure, with an attached two car garage. The house is pale yellow with dark green shutters and a dark green front door. The full address, 36 Yogananda Street is listed on the mailbox located in front of the house." Police case number CFS-12-00704559

PROSECUTING AUTHORITY'S APPLICATION UNDER C.G.S. §54-33c(b) FOR EXTENSION OF PERIOD SEALING SEARCH WARRANT APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT AND DISPENSING WITH REQUIREMENT OF GIVING COPY OF SAME TO OWNER, OCCUPANT OR PERSON NAMED THEREIN AND FOR SEALING OF SEARCH WARRANT RETURN

Pursuant to C.G.S. §54-33c(b), Practice Book §42-49A(b), Article IV of the Connecticut Constitution as Amended by Article XXIII, C.G.S. §51-276<sup>1</sup> and the law enforcement privilege<sup>2</sup> the undersigned State's Attorney, as the prosecuting authority, hereby makes application for:

- a.A 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the search warrant application and affidavit and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same to the owner, occupant or person named therein for the same time; and
  - b. An 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the return of the search warrant.

In support of this application, the undersigned represents, based on:

1. Information provided in the search warrant affidavit; and

HE CLERK COURT 3	A 11: 05	WECTICUT
GFFICE OF T SUPERIOR GA	1 2012 MEC Zh	\$51-276 puts the Division of Criminal Justice in charge of investigations and prosecutions of Criminal matters.  See un re The City of New York, 607 F.3rd 923, C.A. 2 (NY)June 9, 2010 (NO. 10-0327-OP); State v. See Seck. 246 Conn. 514, 545-46 (1998).

That the investigation, which was a basis for the issuing of the search warrant, is still continuing and no arrests have been made and none are currently anticipated, but have not been ruled out. Additionally,

- -There is information contained in the search warrant affidavit that is not known to the general public and any potential suspect(s), the disclosure of which would jeopardize the investigation and chances of successfully solving any crime(s) involved; and
- That disclosure and delivery of the affidavit would seriously jeopardize the outcome and success of the investigation by divulging sensitive and confidential information known only to investigators and any potential suspect(s) and also identify persons cooperating with the investigation thus possibly jeopardizing their personal safety and well-being.

### As such

The search is part of a continuing investigation, which would be adversely affected by the giving of a copy of the affidavit, application and return and the unsealing of the same at this time (54-33c(a)).

December 26, 2012

STATE OF CONNECTICU belensh To

Stephen J. Sedensky III

State's Attorney

Office of the State's Attorney

146 White Street

DATED DECEMBER 16, 2012 AT 4:31 P.M.

**SUPERIOR COURT** 

FOR THE RESIDENCE OF

:

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NANCY AND ADAM LANZA

36 YOGANANDA STREET

OF DANBURY

SANDY HOOK, CONNECTICUT

**POLICE CASE NUMBER:** 

CFS12-00704559

**DECEMBER 27, 2012** 

**ORDER** 

The application of the prosecuting authority, the Danbury State's Attorney, having been considered, it is hereby GRANTED.

The period of time sealing the search warrant application, affidavit and return, and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same is hereby extended for a period of ninety (90) days from the date hereof. The court finds that due to the nature and circumstances of this case and the ongoing investigation, the State's interest in continuing nondisclosure substantially outweighs any right to public disclosure at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

John F. Blawie

SEARCH WARRANT, DATED December 16, 2012 at 4:31 p.m. AND

SIGNED BY THE HONORABLE JOHN F. BLAWIE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

FOR "The residence of Nancy and Adam Lanza, 36 Yogananda Street in Sandy Hook, CT. The residence is a 2 story colonial style structure, with an attached two car garage. The house is pale yellow with dark green shutters. The full address, 36 Yogananda Street is listed on the mailbox located in front of the house."

Police case number CFS#12-00704559

PROSECUTING AUTHORITY'S APPLICATION UNDER C.G.S. §54-33c(b) FOR EXTENSION OF PERIOD SEALING SEARCH WARRANT APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT AND DISPENSING WITH REQUIREMENT OF GIVING COPY OF SAME TO OWNER, OCCUPANT OR PERSON NAMED THEREIN AND FOR SEALING OF SEARCH WARRANT RETURN


Pursuant to C.G.S. §54-33c(b), Practice Book §42-49A(b), Article IV of the Connecticut Constitution as Amended by Article XXIII, C.G.S. §51-276<sup>1</sup> and the law enforcement privilege<sup>2</sup> the undersigned State's Attorney, as the prosecuting authority, hereby makes application for:

- a.A 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the search warrant application and affidavit and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same to the owner, occupant or person named therein for the same time; and
  - b. An 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the return of the search warrant.

In support of this application, the undersigned represents, based on:

1. Information provided in the search warrant affidavit; and

See In re The City of New York, 607 F.3rd 923, C.A. 2 (NY)June 9, 2010 (NO. 10-0327-OP); State v.



- -There is information contained in the search warrant affidavit that is not known to the general public and any potential suspect(s), the disclosure of which would jeopardize the investigation and chances of successfully solving any crime(s) involved; and
- That disclosure and delivery of the affidavit would seriously jeopardize the outcome and success of the investigation by divulging sensitive and confidential information known only to investigators and any potential suspect(s) and also identify persons cooperating with the investigation thus possibly jeopardizing their personal safety and well-being.

#### As such

The search is part of a continuing investigation, which would be adversely affected by the giving of a copy of the affidavit, application and return and the unsealing of the same at this time (54-33c(a)).

December 26, 2012

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Stephen J. Sedensky III

State's Attorney

Office of the State's Attorney

146 White Street

DATED DECEMBER 15, 2012 AT 3:03 P.M.

SUPERIOR COURT

:

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

FOR THE RESIDENCE OF NANCY AND ADAM LANZA

36 YOGANANDA STREET

SANDY HOOK, CONNECTICUT

:

**OF DANBURY** 

**POLICE CASE NUMBER:** 

CFS12-00704559

**DECEMBER 27, 2012** 

**ORDER** 

The application of the prosecuting authority, the Danbury State's Attorney having been considered, it is hereby GRANTED.

The period of time sealing the search warrant application, affidavit and return, and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same is hereby extended for a period of ninety (90) days from the date hereof. The court finds that due to the nature and circumstances of this case and the ongoing investigation, the State's interest in continuing nondisclosure substantially outweighs any right to public disclosure at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

John F. Blawie

SOP! SEARCH WARRANT, DATED December 15, 2012 at 3:03 p.m. AND

SIGNED BY THE HONORABLE JOHN F. BLAWIE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

FOR "The residence of Nancy and Adam Lanza, 36 Yogananda Street in Sandy Hook, CT. The residence is a 2 story colonial style structure, with an attached two car garage. The house is pale yellow with dark green shutters. The full address, 36 Yogananda Street is listed on the mailbox located in front of the house." Police case number CFS12-00704559

PROSECUTING AUTHORITY'S APPLICATION UNDER C.G.S. §54-33c(b) FOR EXTENSION OF PERIOD SEALING SEARCH WARRANT APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT AND DISPENSING WITH REQUIREMENT OF GIVING COPY OF SAME TO OWNER, OCCUPANT OR PERSON NAMED THEREIN AND FOR SEALING OF SEARCH WARRANT RETURN

Pursuant to C.G.S. §54-33c(b), Practice Book §42-49A(b), Article IV of the Connecticut Constitution as Amended by Article XXIII, C.G.S. §51-276<sup>1</sup> and the law enforcement privilege<sup>2</sup> the undersigned State's Attorney, as the prosecuting authority, hereby makes application for:

- a.A 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the search warrant application and affidavit and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same to the owner, occupant or person named therein for the same time; and
  - b. An 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the return of the search warrant.

In support of this application, the undersigned represents, based on:

1. Information provided in the search warrant affidavit; and

\$51-276 puts the Division of Criminal Justice in charge of investigations and prosecutions of See dn re The City of New York. 607 F.3rd 923, C.A. 2 (NY)June 9, 2010 (NO. 10-0327-OP); State v. Seebeck, 246 Conn. 514, 545-46 (1998).

That the investigation, which was a basis for the issuing of the search warrant, is still continuing and no arrests have been made and none are currently anticipated, but have not been ruled out. Additionally,

- -There is information contained in the search warrant affidavit that is not known to the general public and any potential suspect(s), the disclosure of which would jeopardize the investigation and chances of successfully solving any crime(s) involved; and
- That disclosure and delivery of the affidavit would seriously jeopardize the outcome and success of the investigation by divulging sensitive and confidential information known only to investigators and any potential suspect(s) and also identify persons cooperating with the investigation thus possibly jeopardizing their personal safety and well-being.

# As such

The search is part of a continuing investigation, which would be adversely affected by the giving of a copy of the affidavit, application and return and the unsealing of the same at this time (54-33c(a)).

December 26, 2012

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Stephen J. Sedensky III

State's Attorney

Office of the State's Attorney

146 White Street

**DATED DECEMBER 14, 2012 AT 5:29 P.M.** 

**SUPERIOR COURT** 

FOR THE RESIDENCE OF NANCY LANZA

36 YOGANANDA STREET

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SANDY HOOK, CONNECTICUT

**OF DANBURY** 

**POLICE CASE NUMBER:** 

CFS12-00704559

**DECEMBER 27, 2012** 

**ORDER** 

The application of the prosecuting authority, the Danbury State's Attorney, having been considered, it is hereby GRANTED.

The period of time sealing the search warrant application, affidavit and return, and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same is hereby extended for a period of ninety (90) days from the date hereof. The court finds that due to the nature and circumstances of this case and the ongoing investigation, the State's interest in continuing nondisclosure substantially outweighs any right to public disclosure at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Jøhn/F. Blawie

SEARCH WARRANT, DATED December 14, 2012 at 5:29 p.m. AND

SIGNED BY THE HONORABLE JOHN F. BLAWIE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

FOR "The residence of Nancy Lanza, 36 Yogananda Street in Sandy Hook, CT. The residence is a 2 story colonial style structure, with an attached two car garage. The house is pale yellow with dark green shutters. The full address, 36 Yogananda Street is listed on the mailbox located in front of the house. Also including Connecticut registration 593XGR, 2009 BMW, color silver, registered to Nancy Lanza located within the attached garage of the house."

Police case number CFS12-00704559

PROSECUTING AUTHORITY'S APPLICATION UNDER C.G.S. §54-33c(b) FOR EXTENSION OF PERIOD SEALING SEARCH WARRANT APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT AND DISPENSING WITH REQUIREMENT OF GIVING COPY OF SAME TO OWNER, OCCUPANT OR PERSON NAMED THEREIN AND FOR SEALING OF SEARCH WARRANT RETURN

Pursuant to C.G.S. §54-33c(b), Practice Book §42-49A(b), Article IV of the Connecticut Constitution as Amended by Article XXIII, C.G.S. §51-276<sup>1</sup> and the law enforcement privilege<sup>2</sup> the undersigned State's Attorney, as the prosecuting authority, hereby makes application for:

- a.A 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the search warrant application and affidavit and dispensing with the requirement of giving a copy of the same to the owner, occupant or person named therein for the same time; and
  - b. An 90 day extension of the period of time sealing the return of the search warrant.

In support of this application, the undersigned represents, based on:

	- 10	TP	
盖1.	Inform	tion provided in the search warrant affidavit; and	
200	=		
THE CLER R COURT A3	∢ ,	N	
25. 0.00	12/2		
37.00 30.00 10.00	JEC.	Cass. §51-276 puts the Division of Criminal Justice in charge of investigations and prosecution	s of
465 5	2012	see In re The City of New York, 607 F.3rd 923, C.A. 2 (NY)June 9, 2010 (NO. 10-0327-OP); State	te v.
	77	Seebeck, 246 Conn. 514, 545-46 (1998).	



That the investigation, which was a basis for the issuing of the search warrant, is still continuing and no arrests have been made and none are currently anticipated, but have not been ruled out. Additionally,

- -There is information contained in the search warrant affidavit that is not known to the general public and any potential suspect(s), the disclosure of which would jeopardize the investigation and chances of successfully solving any crime(s) involved; and
- That disclosure and delivery of the affidavit would seriously jeopardize the outcome and success of the investigation by divulging sensitive and confidential information known only to investigators and any potential suspect(s) and also identify persons cooperating with the investigation thus possibly jeopardizing their personal safety and well-being.

## As such

The search is part of a continuing investigation, which would be adversely affected by the giving of a copy of the affidavit, application and return and the unsealing of the same at this time (54-33c(a)).

December 26, 2012

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Stephen J. Sedensky MI

State's Attorney

Office of the State's Attorney

146 White Street